Does the contraceptive pill alter mate choice in humans?
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Female and male mate choice preferences in humans both vary according to the menstrual cycle. Women prefer more masculine, symmetrical and genetically unrelated men during ovulation compared with other phases of their cycle, and recent evidence suggests that men prefer ovulating women to others. Such monthly shifts in mate preference have been suggested to bring evolutionary benefits in terms of reproductive success. New evidence is now emerging that taking the oral contraceptive pill might significantly alter both female and male mate choice by removing the mid-cycle change in preferences. Here, we review support for such conclusions and speculate on the consequences of pill-induced choice of otherwise less-preferred partners for relationship satisfaction, durability and, ultimately, reproductive outcomes.

Women’s dual sexuality

In humans, female fertility increases gradually before ovulation and rapidly decreases thereafter. Conceiving is only possible during a brief ~6-day period ending on the day of ovulation (i.e. oestrous phase; [1]). However, women engage in and seek copulations throughout their cycles (i.e. extended sexuality [2]). This dual sexuality (conceptive and not conceptive) is suggested to have two distinct functions: one at the fertile cycle phase to obtain genetic benefits for offspring, and the other at the infertile cycle phase to obtain non-genetic material benefits [2]. Such a ‘dual strategy’ is generally observed in species where females must balance sires’ genetic benefits with costs of losing resources provided by their primary partners, owing to intrinsic and/or socio-ecological constraints precluding them from having both genetic and non-genetic material benefits provided by the same mate (Box 1). It is regulated by cycling physiological and subsequent behavioural changes (Box 2), which can interact with mate choice.

It is well documented that women prefer cues of mate non-genetic material benefits and assistance during less fertile periods and cues reflecting mate genetic quality or compatibility during more fertile periods (reviewed in Ref. [3]). Accumulating evidence suggests that ovulation also induces men to find women more attractive during their fertile phase (reviewed in Ref. [4]). Overall, the ‘dual strategy’ of women’s sexuality is likely to have enabled ancestral women to make informed and, ultimately, adaptive decisions regarding with whom to pair and with whom to reproduce to maximize their reproductive success.

The oral contraceptive pill, used by ~100 million women of reproductive age worldwide (http://www.unpopulation.org), changes the hormonal state of the menstrual cycle by mimicking pregnancy (Box 2). Thus, mate preferences and choice could be modified by the use of such a pill (hereafter referred to as ‘the pill’; similar reasoning is applicable to any other hormone-based contraceptives, i.e. patch or implants, but empirical data concerning their influence on mate preferences are lacking). Here, we review recent evidence to discuss the possibility that contraceptive pill use in women changes mate preferences in both sexes and affects subsequent mate choice and reproductive outcomes. Modern contraception has improved the quality of life worldwide, by reducing unintended pregnancies and maternal deaths [3] and, by enabling women to postpone childbearing, increased their educational level and subsequent role in modern economy [6,7]. Although we take a purely biological perspective towards pill use, we do not wish to undermine the crucial social and medical positive associates of this contraceptive method, which might act in opposite ways from those discussed here.

Mate preferences vary across the menstrual cycle

Both women’s and men’s mate choice preferences vary according to the natural menstrual cycle. In women, menstrual cycle phase is related to shifts in preferences for cues suggested to be related to genetic benefits (‘genetic quality’ indicators) versus non-genetic material benefits (‘good dads’ indicators) associated with hypothetical mates (Box 2). During the past decade, >75% of studies investigating women’s cycling mate preferences have demonstrated that women seek specific characters when fertility is maximal: ovulating women prefer more masculine and symmetrical male features (reviewed in Ref. [3]). Fertile women are also particularly attracted to men showing dominance and intrasexual competitiveness [8], and other possible indicators of genetic quality such as creative intelligence [9] but see Ref. [10]).

Preferences for indicators of male genetic quality are hypothesized to be particularly enhanced during oestrus because not all women are able to pair-bond with high-quality males, even though all women could engage in extra-pair copulations (EPC) with one (Box 1). Accordingly, the shifts in a woman’s mate preferences near ovulation should concern evaluations of genetic benefits, rather than dimensions related to a long-term relationship, such as paternal investment. Given the potential costs associated with EPC, however, preferences for long-term mates probably would not be silenced during oestrus [2]. In support of
Accumulating evidence suggests that women show an increased motivation (and rate) for extra-pair copulation (EPC) at mid-cycle (reviewed in Ref. [3]), which is likely to be translated into realised extra-pair offspring because women’s in-pair copulation rates do not increase at mid-cycle [55]. Rates of women engaging in EPC that lead to EPP range from 0.8% to 30% across socio-ecological contexts (reviewed in Ref. [14]). Given that humans typically form long-term pair bonds, and a woman’s reproductive success does not increase linearly with the number of partners [56], why do women have EPC, why does its rate vary across the menstrual cycle, and why do the preferences for male attributes cycle?

First, men vary in their potential (evolutionary) value as a mate. In maximizing their reproductive success, they face a tradeoff between acquiring mates and caring for their offspring, given that mating effort and paternal effort might overlap in time [57]. This depends on the intrinsic value of individuals (e.g. attractiveness) and the social environment (e.g. local level of male–male competition), leading men to vary in their relative investment in mating and parenting efforts. Second, females cannot always choose their preferred long-term partner [58]. In traditional societies, parents often choose the long-term partner for their daughter, a choice that might not match her own preferences. There is also some evidence that women are socially constrained to accept imposed unions. For instance, in human societies where widows must marry their deceased husband’s brother, if she refuses, the widow must hand over all the property between partners left to her by the deceased husband to his brother she should refuse [59].

Women might thus have EPC during their fertile phase if they encounter males potentially of superior genetic quality to their social partner, and they can enhance the reproductive success of their offspring by reproducing with such males. Many studies on birds have shown that extra-pair offspring have greater mean size-adjusted mass than the within-pair offspring in the same clutch [60]. Similar results from humans are, however, not available owing to the ethical concerns associated with such research. Nevertheless, the documented EPC during mid-cycle is suggested to improve offspring quality, because women prefer cues of genetic quality in mates for short-term rather than long-term relationships, and when their fertility probability is high (reviewed in Ref. [2]).

The suspicion of infidelity however involves severe costs. First, suspected or actual infidelity is a major source of marital conflict [61,62] and the leading cause of conjugal dissolution cross-culturally [63]. Increased paternity uncertainty owing to perception of female infidelity can also be associated with a reduction in paternal care [64]. Thus, selection is likely to have shaped a woman’s mate preferences to be contingent on her fertility status across the ovulatory cycle, balancing between fitness benefits of EPP and the potential cost of losing her primary partner [65].

Box 1. Cycling female preferences: benefits versus costs of extra-pair paternity (EPP)

Accumulating evidence suggests that women show an increased motivation (and rate) for extra-pair copulation (EPC) at mid-cycle (reviewed in Ref. [3]), which is likely to be translated into realised extra-pair offspring because women’s in-pair copulation rates do not increase at mid-cycle [55]. Rates of women engaging in EPC that lead to EPP range from 0.8% to 30% across socio-ecological contexts (reviewed in Ref. [14]). Given that humans typically form long-term pair bonds, and a woman’s reproductive success does not increase linearly with the number of partners [56], why do women have EPC, why does its rate vary across the menstrual cycle, and why do the preferences for male attributes cycle?

First, men vary in their potential (evolutionary) value as a mate. In maximizing their reproductive success, they face a tradeoff between acquiring mates and caring for their offspring, given that mating effort and parental effort might overlap in time [57]. This depends on the intrinsic value of individuals (e.g. attractiveness) and the social environment (e.g. local level of male–male competition), leading men to vary in their relative investment in mating and parenting efforts. Second, females cannot always choose their preferred long-term partner [58]. In traditional societies, parents often choose the long-term partner for their daughter, a choice that might not match her own preferences. There is also some evidence that women are socially constrained to accept imposed unions. For instance, in human societies where widows must marry their deceased husband’s brother, if she refuses, the widow must hand over all the property between partners left to her by the deceased husband to his brother she should refuse [59].

Women might thus have EPC during their fertile phase if they encounter males potentially of superior genetic quality to their social partner, and they can enhance the reproductive success of their offspring by reproducing with such males. Many studies on birds have shown that extra-pair offspring have greater mean size-adjusted mass than the within-pair offspring in the same clutch [60]. Similar results from humans are, however, not available owing to the ethical concerns associated with such research. Nevertheless, the documented EPC during mid-cycle is suggested to improve offspring quality, because women prefer cues of genetic quality in mates for short-term rather than long-term relationships, and when their fertility probability is high (reviewed in Ref. [2]).

The suspicion of infidelity however involves severe costs. First, suspected or actual infidelity is a major source of marital conflict [61,62] and the leading cause of conjugal dissolution cross-culturally [63]. Increased paternity uncertainty owing to perception of female infidelity can also be associated with a reduction in paternal care [64]. Thus, selection is likely to have shaped a woman’s mate preferences to be contingent on her fertility status across the ovulatory cycle, balancing between fitness benefits of EPP and the potential cost of losing her primary partner [65].

this, shifts in preferences at peak fertility for male attractiveness or sexiness have only been observed in a short-term relationship context, and no study has detected fertile-phase shifts in a woman’s evaluations of men as good long-term partners (reviewed in Ref. [10]). This suggests that the primary context in which preference shifts have been favoured is the potential genetic benefit to offspring through EPC [2].

The benefit of pursuing an EPC to obtain genetic benefits for offspring depends on the genetic quality of the primary mate: with high genetic quality primary partner, the potential benefits of engaging in an EPC might be small. Accordingly, both primary male attractiveness and genetic quality and/or compatibility moderate women’s shifts in preference across their cycle and desire for EPC. First, women paired with mates they perceive as unattractive experience a greater shift in their mate preference near ovulation [11,12]. Second, genetic compatibility between partners at the major histocompatibility complex (MHC, loci associated with the immune system for which genetic dissimilarity between partners potentially enhances the immunocompetence of offspring) predicts female sexual relationships depending on their fertility status: MHC sharing negatively predicts a woman’s sexual attraction and sexual responsiveness to her current partner and positively predicts her attraction to other men, particularly during the fertile phase of her cycle [13]. Furthermore, a high level of MHC sharing also predicts a woman’s reported number of extra-pair partners [13]. This suggests that the documented cycling preferences, conditional on women’s and their primary partners’ phenotypic attributes, aimed at maximizing offspring reproductive value.

Cycling preferences in women are likely to enable discriminative mate choice at peak fertility for mate genetic quality and/or compatibility. However, the adaptive significance of such cycling preferences in contemporary populations is not straightforward, given the low mean rate of extra-pair paternity (EPP) worldwide among men confident of their paternity (≈3.5% [14]). This implies that, for >95% of long-term partners believing to be the genetic father of the offspring, this indeed is the case. Evidence from other species does however suggest that a low rate of EPP is sufficient to select for adaptations in both sexes to control paternity [15]. In an attempt to clarify whether cyclicity in preferences brings reproductive benefits to contemporary women, the presence of cycling preferences cross-culturally, and the link between the strength of the shift in preferences and the costs associated with EPP should be investigated.

Women also shift in their attractiveness to men across their menstrual cycle, and several detectable ovulatory cues in women have been documented [3,16] challenging the traditional view of concealed ovulation [17]. The ovulatory cycle induces changes in the physical properties of women, such as modification of face, odour, or voice pitch [18], to which men are sensitive [19,20]. In addition, the ovulatory cycle contributes to changes in a woman’s motivations to appear attractive, and during the fertile phase, women dress more provocatively [21,22], report a higher self-perceived attractiveness [23] and increased sexual desire and fantasies [12]. That a woman’s fertility status is detectable by men is also suggested by studies showing that men’s guarding of their mates increases when women are more fertile [12,23]. For example, in Trinidad, men with fecund mates undergo higher rates of agonistic interactions with other unrelated men than men with subfecund mates [24]. Finally, a study investigating tip earnings by lap dancers showed that earnings varied across their menstrual cycle (Figure 1) [4]. Such changes are probably a result of multiple factors, including changes in both female physical traits as well as behaviour [3,4,21], and could be indicative of changes in male preference and/or female mate acquisition. The presence of an ovulation peak within women across their cycle considered with regard to their ability to extract money from men suggests that cycling female attractiveness has consequences for potential mate attraction.
Box 2. Behavioural and hormonal correlates of oestrus in women

The oestrous phase (near ovulation) is marked by several adaptations suggested to function to obtain genetic benefits for offspring [2]. First, during oestrus, women are more likely to spend energy in mating effort (e.g. Ref. [23]). Moreover, a woman’s desire for orgasm and sexual satisfaction increases, whereas food and water consumption is reduced, which might indicate an increased allocation of energy to mating effort at mid-cycle [66]. Second, women are choosier during oestrus and are physically more attractive to men (possibly achieved by responding to products of the physiological changes in females associated with fertility such as variation in oestrogen levels [23]) and they behave more provocatively [21,22], increasing mating opportunities. Concomitantly, women are more likely to resist poor or unwanted mating options (e.g. Refs [67,68]). Third, a woman’s preference for mates shifts during oestrus. Ovulating women prefer mate characteristics that are possible cues of genetic benefits and compatibility compared with non-fertile phases of the cycle (reviewed in Ref. [3]). Finally, women are more likely to have EPC during oestrus (Box 1).

In normally cycling women, the menstrual cycle involves changes in the concentration of several hormones, and the ovulatory-cycle fluctuations in behavioural outcomes are related to different hormones or combination of hormones (Figure 1a). During the first phase of the cycle, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) stimulates the growth and recruitment of immature ovarian follicles in the ovary. During mid-cycle, luteinizing hormone (LH) surges, causing ovulation. Consequently, progesterone levels increase sharply. If implantation does not occur, progesterone and estrogen levels decrease, causing menstruation. Such fluctuations also lead to various behavioural responses and a woman’s preferences for cues of genetic benefits (e.g. masculinity), for example, are regulated through oestradiol concentrations [69].

Hormonally based contraception inhibits the release of FSH and LH and thus softens the normal mid-cycle peak in oestrogen level and elevates progesterone levels (Figure 1b). These hormonal changes prevent ovulation and mimic the hormonal state of pregnancy, which is predicted to modify human female sexuality. In line with this, women using the pill do not appear to exhibit typical oestrous sexuality, but instead display preferences and interests similar to those of women in the non-fertile phases (Table 1, main text). All ovulatory-cycle effects are potentially modified by oral contraceptives. The impact of such oestrous disruption on reproductive success is currently unknown although it is likely that if oestrus is adaptive, then any drug specifically designed to eliminate ovulation and the associated oestrous psychological and physical changes will have maladaptive side-effects.

Whether the changes leading to women’s increased attractiveness near ovulation are the expression of evolved ‘signals’ of fertility status [25] or simply by-products of changes in women’s hormonal status [2] is controversial. Nonetheless, such enhanced attractiveness to men might bring fitness benefits to ovulating women in terms of increased ability to choose or to retain appropriate mates when conception potential is maximal. Indeed, given that for men beauty is among the primary mate selection criteria [26], women are likely to compete in attractiveness. For example, a rival’s perceived value, assessed by a woman’s rating of other women’s facial attractiveness, is decreased when the rater’s oestrogen levels are high [127] but see Ref. [28]), whereas this shift is not observed in post-menopausal women no longer taking part in female-female competition for reproduction [29]. Moreover, evidence from established couples suggests that partnered women compete to keep their mate: the more satisfied women are with their primary partners, the more they shift towards revealing clothing during high versus low fertility [22]. It is thus possible that ovulating women are more successful at gaining desired mates and more likely to realise their adaptive mating preferences, although further studies are needed to explore this.

Importantly, shifting preferences across the menstrual cycle only matter if they are translated into actual mate choice. Cycling preferences have probably been favoured in women when long-term partners have been socially imposed and the tradeoff between mating and parenting effort in men is particularly pronounced (i.e. polygynous systems where males can access multiple wives simultaneously), so that resources were favoured over genetic quality in long-term partners (Box 1). However, in many monogamous societies where women might be more free to choose their partners, it remains to be confirmed whether actual choice of long-term partners corresponds with evolved short-term or long-term mate preferences. Unfortunately, this question has received little attention in
Table 1. Effects of oral contraceptive pill use for women’s mate preferences and attractiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Non-pill users</th>
<th>Pill users</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferences Symmetry</td>
<td>Increased preferences for scents of symmetrical men at mid-cycle</td>
<td>No preference for either symmetrical or asymmetrical men’s scent</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>17 NPU-35 PU</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased preferences for scents of symmetrical men at mid-cycle</td>
<td>No preference for either symmetrical or asymmetrical men’s scent</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>16 NPU-66 PU</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculinity</td>
<td>Increased preferences for facial and vocal masculinity at mid-cycle</td>
<td>Weaker change across the cycle</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>307 NPU-112 PU</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased preferences for facial masculinity when paired or when seeking short-term relationship</td>
<td>No change according to the relationship status</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>214 NPU-102 PU</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC scents</td>
<td>Preferences for MHC-dissimilar men</td>
<td>Preferences for MHC-similar men</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>31 NPU-18 PU</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No preferences for either MHC-similar or dissimilar men during fertile phase</td>
<td>Preferences for MHC-similar men</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>32 NPU-26 PU</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No preference for either MHC-similar or dissimilar men</td>
<td>Increased preference for MHC-similar men</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>60 NPU-40 PU</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attractiveness</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>No variation in attractiveness</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>17 NPU-21 PU</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased attractiveness ratings by men are increased for women at mid-cycle</td>
<td>No variation in attractiveness ratings by men</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11 NPU-7 PU</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Increased attractiveness ratings by men</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>42 PU/39 NU</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased attractiveness ratings by men are increased for women at mid-cycle</td>
<td>No variation in attractiveness ratings by men</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>17 NPU-21 PU</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased attractiveness ratings by men are increased for women at mid-cycle</td>
<td>No variation in attractiveness ratings by men</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11 NPU-7 PU</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AAbbreviations: B: between-individual design; NPU: non-pill users; PU: pill users; W: within-individual design.

humans. That ideal mate preference might have a role in actual mate choice in modern societies is suggested by evidence that women’s preferences for masculine male faces are positively related to the masculinity of both their actual and ideal partner [30]. Moreover, when an odour, smelled on a T-shirt, reminded the rater of his/her mate’s or former mate’s odour, the rater and the respective T-shirt-wearer shared fewer MHC alleles, again indicating that MHC preferences correlate with actual mate choice [31]. Conversely, one recent study using speed-dating experiments suggested that reported preferences do not correspond to actual mate choice [32]. Future studies are needed to investigate the correlation between mate preference and actual mate choice to establish whether and which preferences (genetic quality or good dad and material benefits) can lead to actual mate choice in various social contexts (i.e. arranged marriage or free choice) to better understand the adaptive value of women’s mate preferences.

Pill use and mate preferences

Daily use of the oral contraceptive pill increases and ‘smoothens’ a woman’s levels of oestrogen and progesterone and, by mimicking the hormonal state of pregnancy, results in prevention of ovulation and loss of normal fertility (Box 2). New evidence suggests that the pill, by eliminating oestrus, changes the natural cycling preferences in women for markers of both genetic quality and compatibility in mates (Table 1), as well as natural cycling attractiveness to men (Table 1). As compared with normally cycling women, pill users show no or weaker preferences for facial (Figure 2) and vocal masculinity [34,35]. For instance, the preferred face shape is more masculine during the high conception-probability phase of the menstrual cycle in non-pill users, but pill users do not show similar preference [35]. Similarly, as compared with non-pill users, pill users express neither the preferences for scents of symmetry (hypothesized to be an honest signal of phenotypic and genetic quality in human males), nor a change in mate scent preferences over the menstrual cycle [36]. Furthermore, whereas normally cycling women express a preference for MHC dissimilarity in mates, pill users prefer odours of MHC-similar men, indicating that pill use might eliminate adaptive preferences for genetic dissimilarity [37]. These results suggest that the use of the pill is related to women favouring less symmetrical, masculine and MHC-dissimilar men, a preference attributed to the pill-induced changes in hormone levels simulating pregnancy (Box 2), and could lead to subsequent preference for individuals likely to support child rearing [31,37].

What is the influence of the pill on the ability of women to attract mates? Given that men prefer ovulating women in situations where they can compare the attractiveness of different women, the use of the oral contraceptive pill could influence a woman’s attractiveness to men. Kuukasjärvi et al. [38] found that pill and non-pill users do not differ in their overall odour attractiveness but, however, unlike in normally cycling women, the attractiveness of the odours of pill users does not depend on their menstrual cycle phase [38]. Thus, oral contraceptives might remove the cyclicity of female attractiveness. Similarly, whereas the voices of normally cycling women become more attractive as the potential for conception increases, this is not observed for pill users [19]. The consequences of such changes in attractiveness for men’s preferences and female intra-sexual competition are currently unknown but there is some support for real-life consequences: revisiting the lap-dancing study, normally cycling lap dancers made ~US$20 more per hour in their fertile phase as compared to their luteal phase, whereas pill users did not show any mid-cycle peak in tip earnings (Figure 1; [4]). This is among the most direct current evidence for pill use disrupting women’s attractiveness cycle, given that real consumer spending patterns (i.e. tips) probably reflect actual mate choice decision more directly than verbally stated hypothetical attractiveness judgments [4].

Unfortunately, however, the previous studies cannot with confidence directly attribute the differences in preferences in pill users and non-pill users to the pill, and must be interpreted cautiously. First, female cycle phase is
often not systematically inferred through physiological measurements but instead self-reports, introducing noise into the data sets. Second, human mate choice studies, although often conducted using elaborate designs, suffer from relatively small sample sizes and, sometimes, potential confounding factors. Nevertheless, the increasing number of consistent findings on the effects of the pill by several research groups using a wide range of methods and outcome measures are intriguing. Third, most studies have not been aimed at directly testing the effect of oral contraceptives on mate preferences; instead, their findings have emerged as secondary results obtained from between-subject designs with regard to the pill users. It thus remains possible that pill users and non-users differ and pill users, for example, have been reported as having more lifetime partners than others [33], indicating that pill and non-pill users possibly vary in their degree of sociosexuality. Whether an increased number of sexual partners is the cause or the consequence of the use of contraception is unknown. Pill and non-pill users might also generally differ in other ways, such as their degree of conscientiousness and openness to experience or their socio-economic status, all of which could interact with cycling mate preferences and choices.

Recently, Roberts et al. [39] attempted to eliminate these potential confounds by adopting a within-subject design in which women's mate preferences were assessed before and after they began taking the pill. Women starting the pill showed a significant preference shift towards MHC similarity compared with three months before the pill was taken, a shift that was not observed in the control group of normally cycling women (Figure 3 [39]). This study replicates previous evidence based on between-subject comparisons [31,37], and suggests that contraceptive pill use disrupts disassortative mate preferences in women, leading to a preference for individuals who are similar to relatives. Although the study used a within-subject design, allocation of women towards the pill or the control group was the decision of the participants, for ethical reasons. The best way to show experimentally an effect of the pill (rather than of pill-related confounds in personality and mating strategy) would be through double-blind placebo-

![Figure 1](image_url). Effects of ovulatory-cycle phase on lapdancer tip earnings per shift in normally cycling women (solid lines) versus women using hormonal contraception (pill users, dashed lines). Over a 60-day period, 18 heterosexual women working as lap-dancers reported day-by-day start or stop of menstruation and their tip earnings from any shift they worked. Normally cycling participants earned ~US$335 per 5-hr shift during oestrus, US$260 per shift during the luteal phase, and US$185 during menstruation. By contrast, pill users showed no rise in earnings during oestrus, suggesting that the use of pill interrupts cycling attractiveness of women to men. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Adapted, with permission, from Ref. [4].
controlled random assignment of women to pill versus non-pill groups, or of random within-subject comparison of active pill versus placebo-pill users. This might be difficult to execute for obvious ethical reasons, although perhaps not impossible if accompanied by condom-use or other non-hormonal additional contraceptive methods for both groups.

Consequences of maladaptive mate choice
The contraceptive pill appears to interfere with natural mate preferences, but it is currently unknown whether this also leads to altered mate choice decisions. Do couples formed while women were taking the pill differ in any way from others, and if so, is the difference a direct effect of the pill? If the effect of the pill is strong enough to modify actual mate choice, what are the consequences for marital stability and fitness of offspring? It must be acknowledged that current evidence suggests such changes in preferences mainly concern short-term partners. However, for women who can have it all (both genetic quality and non-genetic material benefits combined in a single mate), the classic distinction between short- and long-term partners might not hold because a one-night stand with a short-term partner of high genetic quality can be converted into a long-term relationship. In that context, the effect of the pill might be extended to pair-bond formation and production of within-pair offspring. For other women, attributes or quality of the long-term partners might not change as a consequence of the pill use, but the pill might decrease motivations for extra-pair sex at mid-cycle and influence satisfaction and stability of long-term relationships. In any case, the possibility remains that female–female competition for the access to preferred long-term mates could be affected.

We can thus predict that: (i) the use of the pill when choosing a partner for reproduction has consequences for actual mate choice and subsequent offspring survival, health and reproductive prospects; and (ii) commencement or cessation of the pill influence the quality and stability of pre-existing long-term relationships [40], which are not independent of offspring social and physical well-being [41,42].

First, if using the pill while forming relationships leads to a choice of an otherwise less-preferred partner owing to the potentially altered mate preferences discussed above, this could give rise, for example, to decreased genetic compatibility between mates through the choice of MHC-similar mates. One prediction is that offspring of pill users are more homozygous than expected, possibly related to impaired immune function and decreased perceived health and attractiveness [43]. Currently, there are no studies investigating the direct effect of the pill on such outcomes, and whether genetic incompatibility between mates influences offspring reproductive success in humans...
is also not proven. For example, choosing MHC-similar mates can be associated with spontaneous abortion of foetuses and decreased heterozygosity-related problems in the resulting offspring [44–46]. However, few studies have investigated whether more homozygous foetuses are preferentially aborted; results in outbred populations are inconsistent, and studies in isolated populations with disassortative mating do not show any deficit in homozygous children (reviewed in Refs [44–47]). In outbred populations, MHC similarity between partners is associated with reduced offspring birthweight [45], which in turn can have long-term effects on offspring health and reproductive success [48,49]. Moreover, MHC similarity between spouses can be associated with the genesis for pre-eclampsia [50]. Finally, reduced genetic compatibility between partners could also influence the time taken to conceive [51].

Investigations into the relationship between the use of pill at pair-bond formation and fertility problems might thus provide insights into the effect of pill use on mate choice and subsequent reproductive outcomes. In addition, offspring health-related, physical or behavioural traits could also be affected, if the pill leads to a choice of otherwise less-preferred partners. For example, if pill users mate with less masculine men and masculinity is heritable [52], then their children are also likely to express less masculine phenotypes, potentially influencing attractiveness, behaviour and reproductive strategies. However, studies aimed at testing these possibilities are currently lacking.

Second, if mate preferences altered by the pill, such as odour or facial perception, play a part in maintaining attraction to partners, relationship satisfaction, stability and duration could ultimately be affected. Does starting to take the pill influence the stability of an already existing pair-bond? This could happen if cyclicity in female attractiveness and behaviour [4,21–23] helped to maintain partner attraction; more importantly, ultimately women might prefer different male characters when using the pill. Alternatively, if a woman who began a long-term relationship while taking the pill subsequently stops taking it to conceive, is the woman’s attraction to her partner reduced?

Studies that compare divorce rates or marital satisfaction across societies that differ by the prevalence of pill use but are similar in, for example, social tolerance for divorce and use of contraceptives might prove helpful in understanding whether marital dissatisfaction owing to the effect of the pill on mate choice could influence the duration and the stability of long-term relationships. Such approaches could be supplemented with investigation of large-scale individual-level longitudinal datasets now available for a range of societies, including detailed information on partners, reproductive events and various psychological and social outcomes across several decades, that could be linked to medical records or questionnaire data on pill use at different time periods. Such retrospective studies on women who have gone on or off the pill before or during a long-term relationship would enable investigating how pill use impacts women's mating decisions.

Conclusion

There is emerging evidence that the use of the pill by women can disrupt: (i) the variation in mate preferences across their menstrual cycle; (ii) their attractiveness to men; and (iii) their ability to compete with normally cycling women for access to mates. Consequently, mate choice studies in humans have routinely recorded pill use during the past decade to control for its confounding effects, but little effort has been invested in understanding the consequences of such effects of the pill. Moreover, some important limitations of previous results must be acknowledged. For example, all but one study are based on between-subjects designs, thus not controlling for possible pre-existing differences in pill and non-pill users (Table 1). We thus suggest the need for further studies using within-individual designs, and investigating whether general differences between pill users and non-users account for the effect of the pill on mate preferences. Whether the influence of pill use on mate preferences then interacts with actual mate choice is an open question: first, supporting evidence that mate preferences in normally cycling women are reflected on the choice of real partners is relatively indirect and second, whether the use of the pill when making pair-bonding decisions leads to choosing an otherwise (when normally cycling) less preferred partner is currently unknown. Finally, all of the studies so far have been conducted on Western monogamous societies using mostly university student samples. Whether the pill presents a real evolutionary problem is likely to depend on the social context in which preferences are disrupted; the local importance of short-term preferences for choosing genetic fathers; the overall opportunity for women to choose mates; and the costs of EPP.

Because only short-term but not long-term partner preferences tend to vary with the menstrual cycle (reviewed in Ref. [31]), we predict different effects of the pill on mating decisions depending on the context and the women’s opportunities. Consider the effect of using the pill first on a woman whose short-term partner becomes long-term partner with whom offspring are produced (e.g. women who can have both genetic benefits and non-genetic material benefits from the same mate). In this context, the use of oral contraceptives could interfere not only with the ability to attract the preferred man but also cause stronger phenotypic correlations between partners, and/or lead to chosen men being of different phenotypes than those otherwise preferred (i.e. less masculine, symmetrical and genetically different), which could have important long-term consequences for offspring. Then, consider the effect of using the pill on a woman whose short-term and long-term partners differ (e.g. women trading off between genetic benefits in short-term relationships and non-genetic material benefits in long-term relationships). In a long-term context, the pill might not interfere with a woman’s preferences but rather with the ability of a woman to compete for, or to retain, her preferred mate resulting from a potentially decreased attractiveness, as compared to normally cycling women. Given that, worldwide, 9% of women aged 15 to 49 who are married or in union use the pill and >50% of women in pair-bond rely on the pill in developed countries such as Germany (http://www.unpopulation.org), understanding how the pill might
influence formation of pair-bonds in different social contexts is an important question to resolve.

The ultimate outstanding evolutionary question concerns whether the use of oral contraceptives when making mating decisions can have long-term consequences on the ability of couples to reproduce and the reproductive success of offspring. These questions have not received any attention to date. It is worthy of note that since the approval of the pill as a contraceptive method by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1960 and the subsequent rapid export, for example, to Canada (1961), Australia (1961) and Europe (West Germany, 1961, UK, 1961, France, 1967), the potential side-effects on a range of women’s psychological attributes and behaviour have never been investigated by FDA or drug companies. Given the centrality of relationship satisfaction and offspring quality in the subjective well-being of women and mothers, drug companies marketing hormonal contraception should be encouraged to institute large-scale clinical trials investigating behavioural and psychological side-effects potentially associated with oral contraceptives, and any possible maladaptive side-effects of pill use on mate choice, attractiveness, relationship satisfaction, divorce probability and offspring health.

Any such effects should be weighed against the multiple benefits that the invention of the pill has brought. This revolutionary contraceptive method has given women unprecedented control over their fertility with the possibility to sample different partners before reproduction, to control their number of children, to reach optimal birth spacing given circumstances or to end reproductive career before menopause if desired, which has had a considerable impact on their social life. For instance, a sharp increase in college attendance and graduation rates for women was observed after the pill was legalized [7]. The ability to control fertility without sacrificing sexual relationships has facilitated women’s long-term educational and career plans, and many social scientists consider the widespread use of the pill to be key in creating women’s modern economic role (reviewed in Ref. [7]). Furthermore, pill use can bring health benefits by decreasing the risk of ovarian and endometrial cancer later in life by > 40% and 50%, respectively [53], by decreasing the need for induced abortion and surgical sterilization, and by helping to improve the condition of those suffering from pelvic inflammatory disease, dysmenorrhea, premenstrual syndrome, and acne [54].

Pill use is however also associated with risks and disadvantages. For instance, oral contraceptive use might increase breast cancer especially at young age (but current data are conflicting, see Ref. [53]); and when combined with cigarette smoking, pill use is associated with increased rates of cardiovascular diseases. Nevertheless, for example in USA, the risk of death due to the use of the pill in a nonsmoker (1/63 000) is less than the risk of death from pregnancy (1/11 000), suggesting that the health benefits of the pill might generally outweigh its costs [53]. As we have argued, however, the pill might also have a non-negligible impact on mating decisions and subsequent reproduction. If this is the case, pill use will have implications for both current and future generations, and we hope that this review will stimulate further research on this question.
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