



KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

October 10, 2017

Ordinance

Proposed No. 2017-0420.1

Sponsors Kohl-Welles, Dembowski and
McDermott

1 AN ORDINANCE related to community health
2 engagement locations; rejecting Initiative 27 and adopting a
3 substitute ordinance related to adopting the Heroin and
4 Prescription Opiate Addiction Task Force recommendation
5 to establish a community health engagement locations pilot
6 project with both measures to be submitted to the voters at
7 the February 6, 2018, special election; and adding a new
8 section to K.C.C. chapter 2.35A.

9 STATEMENT OF FACTS:

10 1. In King County, heroin and opioid use continues to increase, resulting
11 in a growing number of fatalities due to overdoses. In 2013, heroin
12 overtook prescription opioids as the primary cause of opioid overdose
13 deaths. By 2016, according to medical examiner records, opioid-involved
14 deaths in King County totaled two hundred-nineteen, where there was an
15 overdose death in the county almost every thirty-six hours. Increases in
16 opioid deaths from 2013 to 2016 were seen throughout the county.
17 2. In addition to the overdose risk, the use of heroin and other substances results
18 in public disorder where individuals inject in public, or in other public facilities
19 such as public restrooms. Improper public disposal of syringes and needles also

20 poses public health and safety risks to the community at large, including to
21 children and other persons using parks and other public facilities.

22 3. Recognizing the extent of the opioid public health crisis, in March
23 2016, the King County executive and the mayors of the city of Seattle and
24 other suburban cities convened the Heroin and Prescription Opiate
25 Addiction Task Force. The task force was charged with developing both
26 short and long-term strategies to prevent opioid use disorder, prevent
27 overdoses, and improve access to treatment and other supportive services
28 for individuals experiencing opioid use disorder. The task force had
29 representatives from forty different agencies representing all of King
30 County including the county's chief medical officer, public health
31 practitioners, social service agencies, law enforcement, prosecutor, courts,
32 fire departments, local tribes, the University of Washington, federal and
33 state agencies and community groups.

34 4. Task force recommendations were generated by four workgroups. The
35 workgroup recommendations were presented to the full task force on two
36 separate occasions for review, feedback and modification, culminating in a
37 final vote on each recommendation. The final report and
38 recommendations of the task force were unanimously adopted by the King
39 County board of health in January 2017.

40 5. The task force made eight recommendations: increase prescriber education on
41 opioids and heroin; provide public education for adults and youth about opioid
42 risks; expand prescription drug take-back and secure medication return; enhance

43 screening for opioid misuse and opioid use disorder; provide treatment on demand
44 for all needed modalities of treatment; develop innovative buprenorphine
45 prescribing practices; expand access to naloxone to reduce overdose deaths; and
46 establish a pilot program for the development of community health engagement
47 locations for individuals with substance use disorders.

48 6. One of the task force's eight recommendations, based on a review of
49 evidence-based best practices research, was to establish, on a pilot
50 program basis, at two community health engagement locations where
51 supervised and safe drug consumption occurs for individuals with
52 substance use disorders in King County.

53 7. The recommendation was based on the evaluation of medical and scientific
54 literature about the numerous Canadian, Australian and European supervised drug
55 consumption sites and attendant research studies that showed the effectiveness of
56 these programs in reducing overdose deaths and improving the health of program
57 clients.

58 8. A 2008 report prepared for the Canadian minister of health, showed that the
59 initial supervised drug consumption pilot facility in British Columbia: increased
60 access to health and addiction care; reduced overdoses and the transmission of
61 blood-borne pathogens; reported improvements in public order as measured by
62 reductions in the number of individuals injecting in public and the decline in the
63 public disposal of dirty needles; and was cost effective. Based on this and other
64 scientific evaluations that showed the effectiveness of supervised drug
65 consumption sites, the Canadian federal health agency has approved and licensed

66 eighteen sites in the provinces of British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec.

67 9. The most recent report of the British Columbia's coroner's service showed that,

68 for the reporting period of 2007 through June 2017, while overdose deaths in

69 British Columbia had increased, there had been no overdose deaths at supervised

70 drug consumption sites.

71 10. Recent scientific research shows that similar harm reduction strategies

72 that offer comprehensive services to those with substance use disorder are

73 more likely to seek treatment services. Based on those studies, the

74 American Medical Association voted in June 2017 to support the

75 development of pilot supervised drug consumption sites recognizing "that

76 these facilities reduce the number of overdose deaths, reduce the

77 transmission rates of infectious disease, and increase the number of people

78 initiating treatment for substance use disorder."

79 11. According to 2017 survey research conducted by the University of

80 Washington's alcohol and drug addiction institute, up to eighty percent of

81 needle exchange users reported that they were interested in obtaining

82 treatment for their addiction. The January 2017 expansion of

83 buprenorphine treatment services through the county's needle exchange

84 program was full to capacity in three months and has a one hundred

85 person wait list.

86 12. Section 230.50 of the King County Charter specifies a county

87 initiative process whereby the public may propose a county ordinance by

88 filing with the county council petitions bearing signatures of registered

89 county voters equal in number to not less than ten percent of the votes cast
90 in the county for the office of county executive at the last preceding
91 election for county executive.

92 13. On May 2, 2017, as provided for in K.C.C. 1.18.030, the clerk of the
93 council approved as to form an initiative petition, identified as Initiative
94 27, proposing an amendments to the King County Code to prohibit
95 supervised drug consumption sites in King County.

96 14. On July 24 and 28, 2017, the sponsor of Initiative 27 filed petitions
97 with the clerk of the council.

98 15. The clerk of the council reviewed all of the Initiative 27 petitions and,
99 on July 31, 2017, forwarded all unaltered petitions to the King County
100 department of elections director to canvass and count the names of the
101 legal voters thereon, as required by the King County charter.

102 16. On August 17, 2017, the King County department of elections
103 certified that a minimum of forty-seven thousand four hundred forty-three
104 signatures of registered voters were required for Initiative 27 to qualify as
105 a proposed ordinance, and that names and petition signatures of legal
106 voters in that amount had been canvassed and counted.

107 17. Section 230.50 of the King County Charter allows the King County
108 council to offer to the voters an alternative to a proposed county initiative.
109 Under that section, the council may reject the proposed initiative
110 ordinance, and adopt a substitute ordinance concerning the same subject
111 matter with both measures to be submitted to the voters on the same ballot.

112 The voters shall first be given the choice of accepting either or rejecting
113 both and shall then be given the choice of accepting one and rejecting the
114 other.

115 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

116 SECTION 1. In order to offer the voters an alternative to Initiative 27, Section
117 230.50 of the King County Charter requires that the King County council reject the
118 proposed county initiative and adopt a substitute ordinance. Therefore, the proposed
119 Initiative 27 ordinance is hereby rejected.

120 SECTION 2. A substitute ordinance is hereby adopted and shall be submitted
121 along with the proposed Initiative 27 ordinance to the qualified voters of King County for
122 their approval or rejection, at a special election held at the general election on February 6,
123 2018. A two-part question shall be presented to the voters pursuant to Section 230.50 of
124 the King County Charter. If, in the first part of the question, a majority of qualified
125 voters of King County voting on the measure at the February 6, 2018, special election
126 vote to enact either proposed Initiative 27 or this substitute, and then, in the second part
127 of the question, a majority voting on the second part of the question favor this substitute,
128 then section 3 of this ordinance is enacted.

129 NEW SECTION. SECTION 3. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 2.35A a
130 new section to read as follows:

131 The department of public health may implement the recommendation of the
132 Heroin and Prescription Opiate Addiction Task Force to initiate a pilot project to
133 establish community health engagement locations where supervised drug consumption
134 occurs for individuals with substance use disorders in King County to reduce overdose

135 deaths and improve the health outcomes of those individuals . The department may
136 establish, on a pilot program basis for three years, up to two community health
137 engagement locations where supervised safe drug consumption occurs for individuals
138 with substance use disorders. The purpose of the community health engagement
139 locations is to reduce the public health and safety risk from improper disposal of used
140 dirty syringes and needles in public places and to also engage individuals experiencing
141 substance use disorder using multiple strategies to reduce harm and promote health,
142 including, but not limited to, reduction of harm and risk associated with the use of dirty
143 needles in the consumption of substances, the prevention and treatment of overdoses and
144 providing access to treatment for those with substance use disorder. The community
145 health engagement locations shall not provide clients with any unlawful controlled
146 substances. The community health engagement location pilot project shall be:

147 A. Located in geographic areas that have hotspots where there is a measurable
148 concentration of substance use and related overdoses;

149 B. Developed with community and local government engagement;

150 C. Operated with sufficient public health professional staff and resources for the
151 community health engagement locations to provide either evidence-based best or
152 promising practices harm reduction services for individuals with substance use disorders;

153 D. Operated in a manner that will also provide users access to treatment services
154 for substance use disorder, behavioral health and physical health, either directly at the site
155 or through referral. In addition, the sites should provide users access to social services
156 and other services that are a part of a continuum of care that can foster health and reduce
157 the harm associated with substance use either directly at the site or through referral;

158 E. Equipped to administer life-saving medications, such as naloxone, to reverse
159 overdoses if necessary;

160 F. Operated to enhance public health and safety in the immediate area; and

161 G. Evaluated regularly by the department for effectiveness after the establishment
162 of the operation of the first pilot location and throughout the pilot project period.

163 SECTION 4. The clerk of the council shall certify the proposition to the director
164 of the department of elections in substantially the following form, with such additions,
165 deletions or modifications as may be required for the proposition by the prosecuting
166 attorney:

167 Shall a three-year, supervised drug consumption sites pilot be allowed at
168 overdose hotspots, with community engagement and evaluation for
169 effectiveness?

170 SECTION 5. Following approval by the voters at the February 6, 2018, special

171 election, section 3 of this ordinance shall take effect ten days after the certification of the
172 results of the February 6, 2018, special election.

173

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

J. Joseph McDermott, Chair

ATTEST:

Melani Pedroza, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this ____ day of _____, ____.

Dow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: None